RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO:

NEED RESPONSE BY:

CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

 Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit manager.

Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility to the county where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the appropriate CalFresh Bureau unit manager.

DATE OF REQUEST:

Mercad Country Merc		✓ Policy/Regulation Interpretation □ QC	September 11, 2012 September 30, 2012 6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION:
Subject		Fair Hearing	Merced County
PSCUESTOR NAME Kharnia Emanivorg PHOLE NO. 209-365-300.0 ext REGULATION CITES. REGUL			
MOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a retremec(s). HOURE NO. 209-365-3000 ext REQUESTION: INCLUDE SCENARY OF REEDED FOR CLAFTY: When applying for CalFresh and CalWORKs at the same time, do initial PA payments received after the initial benefit mon count toward the initial CalFresh budget? Household applied for CalFresh and CalWORKs in August 2012. Eligibility Determination was made 9/7/12. Since the CalWORKs benefits were not received until after the initial month of application, should they be counted in the CalFresh budget? Per regulation 63-503.242(b)(1), income shall be counted only in the month it is expected to be received. While 63-301.633 indicates that the initial PA payments should be anticipated, 63-301.633(a) indicates that if PA payments will be received after the subsequent month (September in this case) then the benefits should varied accordingly. This implie that the initial PA payment would not be counted of 3-301.633 states that retreactive PA payments would be considered imposition payments and thus would not count toward the FS grant. So the August 2012 PA payment received in September 2012 would not count at all to the August 2012 CalFresh budget and would be disregarded for the September 2012 CalFresh budget as a tump sum payment. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFFB USE ONLY): ASSUMPTION: The PA grant amount or date of receipt could not be anticipated with reasonable certainty at the time of the CalFresh eligibility determination. That is, the Initial PA payment has not been approved and authorized and so will not be issued within the month of application. The state concurs with the requestors proposed response in regards to the August payment - regular rules would apply to September benefits. Per MPP 63-501.111 (under 63-501.1 Definition of Resources) a nonrecurring lump sum payment includes retroactive payments from the approval of an application for any assistance program. PATE RESPONDED TO COUNTYALD.			
209-385-3000 ext REQUARTION: INCLUDE SCENARIO IN PECCEPT OR CLARITY: When applying for Call-Fresh and CallWORKs at the same time, do initial PA payments received after the initial benefit mon count toward the initial CalFresh and CallWORKs in August 2012. Eligibility Determination was made 9/7/12. Since the CallWORKs benefits were not received until after the initial month of application, should they be counted in the CalFresh budget? Household applied for CalFresh and CallWORKs in August 2012. Eligibility Determination was made 9/7/12. Since the CallWORKs benefits were not received until after the initial month of application, should they be counted in the CalFresh budget? Per regulation 63-503.242(b)(1), income shall be counted only in the month it is expected to be received. While 63-301.633 indicates that the initial PA payments will be received after the subsequent month (September in this case) then the benefits should varied accordingly. This implies that the initial PA payment would not be counted. S3-301.634 states that retroactive PA payments would be considered imposure payments and thus would not count toward the FS grant. So the August 2012 PA payment received in September 2012 would not count at all to the August 2012 CalFresh budget and would be disregarded for the September 2012 CalFresh budget as a lump sum payment. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY): ASSUMPTION: The PA grant amount or date of receipt could not be anticipated with reasonable certainty at the time of the CalFresh eligibility determination. That is, the initial PA payment has not been approved and authorized and so will not be issued within the month of application. The state concurs with the requestors proposed response in regards to the August payment - regular rules would apply to September benefits. Per MPP 63-501.110 (under 63-501.1 Definition of Resources) a nonrecurring lump sum payment includes retroactive payments from the approval of an application for any assistance program. FOR CDSS USE ATERECENED:		Khamla Emanivong	8. REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in reterences) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).
G3-503.242(b)(1), 63-301.633, 63-301.633(a), 63-301.634 CUESTION, INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CARRY): When applying for CalFresh and CalWORKs at the same time, do initial PA payments received after the initial benefit mon count toward the initial CalFresh budget? Household applied for CalFresh and CalWORKs in August 2012. Eligibility Determination was made 9/7/12. Since the CalWORKs benefits were not received until after the initial month of application, should they be counted in the CalFresh budget? Per regulation 63-503.242(b)(1), income shall be counted only in the month it is expected to be received. While 63-301.633 indicates that if PA payments will be received after the subsequent month (September in this case) then the benefits should varied accordingly. This implie that the initial PA payment would not be counted, 63-301.634 states that retroactive PA payments would be considered tump sum payments and thus would not count toward the FS grant. So the August 2012 PA payment received in September 2012 would not count at all to the August 2012 CalFresh budget and would be disregarded for the September 2012 CalFresh budget as a tump sum payment. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CPPB USE ONLY): ASSUMPTION: The PA grant amount or date of receipt could not be anticipated with reasonable certainty at the time of the CalFresh eligibility determination. That is, the initial PA payment has not been approved and authorized and so will not be issued within the month of application. The state concurs with the requestors proposed response in regards to the August payment - regular rules would apply to September benefits. Per MPP 63-801.111 (under 63-501.1 Definition of Resources) a nonrecurring lump sum payment includes retroactive payments from the approval of an application for any assistance program.). 	209-385-3000 ext	
When applying for CalFresh and CalWORKs at the same time, do initial PA payments received after the initial benefit mon count toward the initial CalFresh budget? Household applied for CalFresh and CalWORKs in August 2012. Eligibility Determination was made 9/7/12. Since the CalWORKs benefits were not received until after the Initial month of application, should they be counted in the CalFresh budget? Per regulation 63-503.242(b)(1), income shall be counted only in the month it is expected to be received. White 63-301.633 indicates that the initial PA payments should be anticipated, 63-301.633(a) indicates that if PA payments will be received after the subsequent month (September in this case) then the benefits should varied accordingly. This implies that the initial PA payment would not be counted. 63-301.634 states that retroactive PA payments would be considered tump sum payments and thus would not count toward the FS grant. So the August 2012 PA payment received in September 2012 would not count at all to the August 2012 CalFresh budget and would be disregarded for the September 2012 CalFresh budget as a tump sum payment. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY). ASSUMPTION: The PA grant amount or date of receipt could not be anticipated with reasonable certainty at the time of the CalFresh eligibility determination. That is, the initial PA payment has not been approved and authorized and so will nobe issued within the month of application. The state concurs with the requestors proposed response in regards to the August payment - regular rules would apply to September benefits. Per MPP 63-501.111 (under 63-501.1 Definition of Resources) a nonrecurring lump sum payment includes retroactive payments from the approval of an application for any assistance program. FOR CDSS USE ATE RESPONDED TO COUNTYALL:		63-503.242(b)(1), 63-301.633, 63-301.633(a), 63-301.634	
Household applied for CalFresh and CalWORKs in August 2012. Eligibility Determination was made 9/7/12. Since the CalWORKs benefits were not received until after the initial month of application, should they be counted in the CalFresh budget? REDUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER. Per regulation 63-503.242(b)(1), income shall be counted only in the month it is expected to be received. While 63-301.633 indicates that the initial PA payments should be anticipated, 63-301.633(a) indicates that if PA payments will be received after the subsequent month (September in this case) then the benefits should varied accordingly. This implies that the initial PA payment would not be counted. 63-301.634 states that retroactive PA payments would be considered lump sum payments and thus would not count toward the FS grant. So the August 2012 PA payment received in September 2012 would not count at all to the August 2012 CalFresh budget and would be disregarded for the September 2012 CalFresh budget as a tump sum payment. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CPPB USE ONLY). ASSUMPTION: The PA grant amount or date of receipt could not be anticipated with reasonable certainty at the time of the CalFresh eligibility determination. That is, the initial PA payment has not been approved and authorized and so will not be issued within the month of application. The state concurs with the requestors proposed response in regards to the August payment - regular rules would apply to September benefits. Per MPP 63-501.111 (under 63-501.1 Definition of Resources) a nonrecurring lump sum payment includes retroactive payments from the approval of an application for any assistance program. FOR CDSS USE ATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALL:).	QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):	Africa
CalWORKs benefits were not received until after the initial month of application, should they be counted in the CalFresh budget? Per regulation 63-503.242(b)(1), income shall be counted only in the month it is expected to be received. While 63-301.633 indicates that the initial PA payments should be anticipated, 63-301.633(a) indicates that if PA payments will be received after the subsequent month (September in this case) then the benefits should varied accordingly. This implie that the initial PA payment would not be counted. 63-301.634 states that retroactive PA payments would be considered lump sum payments and thus would not count toward the FS grant. So the August 2012 PA payment received in September 2012 would not count at all to the August 2012 CalFresh budget and would be disregarded for the September 2012 CalFresh budget as a lump sum payment. L. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CEPB USE OWLY): ASSUMPTION: The PA grant amount or date of receipt could not be anticipated with reasonable certainty at the time of the CalFresh eligibility determination. That is, the initial PA payment has not been approved and authorized and so will no be issued within the month of application. The state concurs with the requestors proposed response in regards to the August payment - regular rules would apply to September benefits. Per MPP 63-501.111 (under 63-501.1 Definition of Resources) a nonrecurring lump sum payment includes retroactive payments from the approval of an application for any assistance program. FOR CDSS USE ATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:		count toward the initial CalFresh budget?	
Per regulation 63-503.242(b)(1), income shall be counted only in the month it is expected to be received. While 63-301.633 indicates that the initial PA payments should be anticipated, 63-301.633(a) indicates that if PA payments will be received after the subsequent month (September in this case) then the benefits should varied accordingly. This implie that the initial PA payment would not be counted. 63-301.634 states that retroactive PA payments would be considered lump sum payments and thus would not count toward the FS grant. So the August 2012 PA payment received in September 2012 would not count at all to the August 2012 CalFresh budget and would be disregarded for the September 2012 CalFresh budget as a lump sum payment. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY): ASSUMPTION: The PA grant amount or date of receipt could not be anticipated with reasonable certainty at the time of the CalFresh eligibility determination. That is, the initial PA payment has not been approved and authorized and so will no be issued within the month of application. The state concurs with the requestors proposed response in regards to the August payment - regular rules would apply to September benefits. Per MPP 63-501.111 (under 63-501.1 Definition of Resources) a nonrecurring lump sum payment includes retroactive payments from the approval of an application for any assistance program. FOR CDSS USE ATE RECEIVED: DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:		CalWORKs benefits were not received until after the initial m	012. Eligibility Determination was made 9/7/12. Since the onth of application, should they be counted in the CalFresh
Per regulation 63-503.242(b)(1), income shall be counted only in the month it is expected to be received. While 63-301.633 indicates that the initial PA payments should be anticipated, 63-301.633(a) indicates that if PA payments will be received after the subsequent month (September in this case) then the benefits should varied accordingly. This implie that the initial PA payment would not be counted. 63-301.634 states that retroactive PA payments would be considered lump sum payments and thus would not count toward the FS grant. So the August 2012 PA payment received in September 2012 would not count at all to the August 2012 CalFresh budget and would be disregarded for the September 2012 CalFresh budget as a lump sum payment. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY): ASSUMPTION: The PA grant amount or date of receipt could not be anticipated with reasonable certainty at the time of the CalFresh eligibility determination. That is, the initial PA payment has not been approved and authorized and so will no be issued within the month of application. The state concurs with the requestors proposed response in regards to the August payment - regular rules would apply to September benefits. Per MPP 63-501.111 (under 63-501.1 Definition of Resources) a nonrecurring lump sum payment includes retroactive payments from the approval of an application for any assistance program. FOR CDSS USE ATE RECEIVED: DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:			
63-301.633 indicates that the initial PA payments should be anticipated, 63-301.633(a) indicates that if PA payments will be received after the subsequent month (September in this case) then the benefits should varied accordingly. This implie that the initial PA payment would not be counted. 63-301.634 states that retroactive PA payments would be considered tump sum payments and thus would not count toward the FS grant. So the August 2012 PA payment received in September 2012 would not count at all to the August 2012 CalFresh budget and would be disregarded for the September 2012 CalFresh budget as a lump sum payment. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY): ASSUMPTION: The PA grant amount or date of receipt could not be anticipated with reasonable certainty at the time of the CalFresh eligibility determination. That is, the initial PA payment has not been approved and authorized and so will no be issued within the month of application. The state concurs with the requestors proposed response in regards to the August payment - regular rules would apply to September benefits. Per MPP 63-501.111 (under 63-501.1 Definition of Resources) a nonrecurring lump sum payment includes retroactive payments from the approval of an application for any assistance program. FOR CDSS USE ATE RECEIVED: DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:	6.	REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:	
and would be disregarded for the September 2012 CalFresh budget as a lump sum payment. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY): ASSUMPTION: The PA grant amount or date of receipt could not be anticipated with reasonable certainty at the time of the CalFresh eligibility determination. That is, the initial PA payment has not been approved and authorized and so will no be issued within the month of application. The state concurs with the requestors proposed response in regards to the August payment - regular rules would apply to September benefits. Per MPP 63-501.111 (under 63-501.1 Definition of Resources) a nonrecurring lump sum payment includes retroactive payments from the approval of an application for any assistance program. FOR CDSS USE ATE RECEIVED: DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:		63-301.633 indicates that the initial PA payments should be be received after the subsequent month (September in this that the initial PA payment would not be counted. 63-301.63	anticipated, 63-301.633(a) indicates that if PA payments will case) then the benefits should varied accordingly. This implies 34 states that retroactive PA payments would be considered
ASSUMPTION: The PA grant amount or date of receipt could not be anticipated with reasonable certainty at the time of the CalFresh eligibility determination. That is, the initial PA payment has not been approved and authorized and so will no be issued within the month of application. The state concurs with the requestors proposed response in regards to the August payment - regular rules would apply to September benefits. Per MPP 63-501.111 (under 63-501.1 Definition of Resources) a nonrecurring lump sum payment includes retroactive payments from the approval of an application for any assistance program. FOR CDSS USE ATE RECEIVED: DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:		So the August 2012 PA payment received in September 201 and would be disregarded for the September 2012 CalFresh	2 would not count at all to the August 2012 CalFresh budget budget as a lump sum payment.
the CalFresh eligibility determination. That is, the initial PA payment has not been approved and authorized and so will no be issued within the month of application. The state concurs with the requestors proposed response in regards to the August payment - regular rules would apply to September benefits. Per MPP 63-501.111 (under 63-501.1 Definition of Resources) a nonrecurring lump sum payment includes retroactive payments from the approval of an application for any assistance program. FOR CDSS USE ATE RECEIVED: DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:	1.	STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):	
September benefits. Per MPP 63-501.111 (under 63-501.1 Definition of Resources) a nonrecurring lump sum payment includes retroactive payments from the approval of an application for any assistance program. FOR CDSS USE ATE RECEIVED: DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:		the CalFresh eligibility determination. That is, the initial PA p	d not be anticipated with reasonable certainty at the time of ayment has not been approved and authorized and so will not
ATE RECEIVED: DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:		September benefits. Per MPP 63-501.111 (under 63-501.11	Definition of Resources) a nonrecurring lump sum payment
ATE RECEIVED: DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:			
ATE RECEIVED: DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:		FOR CDS	SS USE
24 (7/12)	ΑT	P. P. P. P. J. P. J. P. P.	
	: 24	(7/12)	DAC".

RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: Policy/Regulation Interpretation	5. DATE OF REQUEST:	NEED RESPONSE BY:	
□ ac	6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: 7. SUBJECT:		
☐ Fair Hearing ☐ Other:			
REQUESTOR NAME:		8. REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).	
PHONE NO.:	11		
REGULATION CITE(S):			

CF 24 (7/12)